

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
<b>FN 4</b>					<b>Brief: 15,090 individuals told the FCC through CHD's filings they object to involuntary RF radiation exposure from any newly-authorized OTARD system.</b>
	1	CHD Comment Dafna Tachover Declaration, May 19, 2020	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20OTARD%20Objectors%20Declaration.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20OTARD%20Objectors%20Declaration.pdf</a>		Our list includes 15,090 objecting persons. Of those, 6,145 object to the OTARD extension on general grounds and because they and/or their child have been injured by wireless radiation. 6,231 object to the OTARD expansion because they and/or their child have already been injured by wireless radiation
	2	CHD Comment List of 15,090 people who filed Jointly with CHD	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf</a>		
<b>FN 5</b>					<b>Brief: Involuntary exposure will be a trespass, nuisance, assault, battery, or torture.</b>
	1	<b>ARRT-3</b>			Column D; Column E
	2	Cindy Sallis	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061830674258">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061830674258</a>		I have Ehlers Danlos, a connective tissue disorder. There are many studies that show EMF makes my condition worse. I have three little boys. We do NOT use wifi all day. We do NOT own smart appliances. We intentionally hard wire to produce an EMF Free home for myself and our kids. It is abominable after all the organizations that have pleaded with the FCC to update their inadequate EMF safety standards that it would even be considered to pit neighbors against each other by bypassing legal antennae permitting processes and installing 5g transmitting device from rooftops in residential communities. Please have a conscience and do NOT do such a thing. On our own private property, it should be considered trespass to shove emf down our throats when it's unwanted! Your own 2g and 3g research showed low birth rates and cancer connections. We do not want 5G at all! STOP!
	3	Sheila Ward	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=658">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=658</a>	R14198	The FCC's actions have already caused injury to MY body and that of my Children. The Federal Communication Commission has allowed a situation where telecommunication corporations AND energy corporations, can physically assault us, with impunity.
	4	Linda Kurtz	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617053523584">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617053523584</a>		My home is my only safe space. Amendment of the OTARD rule to allow the installation of 5G antennas on residential rooftops would be--quite frankly--a further assault on my already fragile health.
	5	Brigitte Otto	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618072354586">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618072354586</a>		Even though I'm obviously unable to benefit from cell-phone antennas, I'm forced to be exposed to radiation emitted by them. They intrude into my apartment. Please don't cause me to suffer more by adding 5G antennas to the ones that torture me already.
	6	Shelley Masters	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=398">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=398</a>	R8541	I was severely disabled when, unknown to me, a RF transmitting antenna was attached to my bedroom wall by my public utility in 2010. I do not consent to any wireless radiation trespassing onto my property. I will consider this electronic criminal assault.
	7	Peg Peterson	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106172919514996">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106172919514996</a>		I believe to allow 5G installation without private resident approval constitutes trespass and assault (risk disregard of an imminent injury to human life)!
	8	Aline Huntly	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=298">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=298</a>	R6382	I already have debilitating symptoms caused by current wireless radiation levels in my neighborhood. I do not consent to being further irradiated. It would be an absolute nightmare for me.
<b>FN 6</b>					<b>Brief: Around 6,320 pointed out that they and/ or their child are injured by RF radiation and further exposure to a system authorized by the proposal will significantly and perhaps even fatally worsen their condition.</b>

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	1	CHD's Comment, May 19, 2021	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20OTARD%20Objectors%20Declaration.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20OTARD%20Objectors%20Declaration.pdf</a>		Our list includes 15,090 objecting persons. Of those, 6,145 object to the OTARD extension on general grounds and because they and/or their child have been injured by wireless radiation. 6,231 object to the OTARD expansion because they and/or their child have already been injured by wireless radiation.
	2	ARR-T3			Column A; Column B
	3	AAR-T2			
	4	McKenzie Jennings	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf</a>		I am diagnosed with epilepsy and four other major diagnoses. Almost all of my conditions, especially the epilepsy, involve my central nervous system, which includes the brain. Epilepsy is a brain disfunction where there is abnormal electrical activity. The microwave radiation from these antennas will utilized pulsed millimeter waves and will be pulsing 24/7. This pulsing from these wireless facilities will cause an increased frequency of seizures by interfering with my brain function, interrupting my electrical impulses, and causing damage to my compromised conetral nervous system....Continual exposure to microwave radiation would increase my seizure frequency, cause physical impairment due to the increased number of seizures, and further damage my central nervous system and brain; all of which will negatively affect my quality of life and ability to function. I would not be able to be part of society as wireless, microwave radiation will be pervasive. I request you not change the OTARD rule so no one in the US will suffer ill health or have to live in an unsafe environment, which includes me and my family. Please inform me of your intent to comply with this ADA request.
	5	Shelley Masters	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617257800411">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617257800411</a>		I have been disabled by wireless electromagnetic technology. EMS - Electromagnetic Sensitivity (EMS) is a condition recognized by the US Access Board of the American's with Disabilities Act. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects - vertigo, massive hair loss, high-pitched ringing in my ears, heart racing palpitations, memory problems, anxiety and depression, sleeping problems, and inflammation so bad in some places I am unable to walk. This deployment is a horrrific thing for me. The proposed rules would cause me to lose my home, my business and my life. The FCC has not provided the citizens this country assurance that 5G is safe. 5G needs more regulation, not less.
	6	Santa Brown	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=79">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=79</a>	R1623	My daughter is 100% disabled because of radio frequency radiation and it is life threatening. My daughter can not go anywhere because of the smart meters. With 5G she will not survive.
	7	Anthony Lacoparra	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=351">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=351</a>	R7495	My wife is 100% disabled from radio frequency radiation. It is life threatening for my wife she will die.
	8	Devika Kishore	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=337">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=337</a>	R7216	My nervous system is already sensitive to EMF! I am living with constant tinnitus, headaches, palpitation, anxiety.. I cannot be subjected to any more!
<b>FN 7</b>					<b>Brief: Many credibly claimed they would have to abandon their home and observed that finding a new home would be almost impossible since all homes within reach of any OTARD system would also be unavailable to them.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column A; Column B
	2	ARR-T2			
	3	Debra R. Van Dusen	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1011037210617">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1011037210617</a>		Electro-sensitive people like myself, who are already unable to live near existing cell towers and antennas because of debilitating physical impacts, will have nowhere to go. Even if we find what we think is a safe place to live, we will not be able to control whether a neighbor installs a 5G antenna right next door. Possibly we will not know it has happened until we become sick. You must not take away community control over the installation of 5G antennas. This is a technology that has NOT been scientifically demonstrated as safe. Allowing it to spread everywhere through our community while we have no voice on placement is tantamount to treating us as unwilling (and unknowing) lab animals in a disastrously large experiment. Please do not let the telecom companies bulldoze our right to decide in their scramble for 5G market profits.
	4	Matina Johnson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf</a>		I am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects...My career is also affected because I have been in the computer field for over 20 years. I have traveled the U.S. and abroad working in the area of technology...Having an electromagnetic sensitivity is an isolating illness that causes me to stay at home most of the time...If FCC 19-71 is passed, neighbors' devices would cause me to not be able to garden any longer. Additionally, I would get sick inside my own home because of nearby devices and would be forced move. But where would I move if there are devices EVERYWHERE?!

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	5	Alexandra Ansell	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061866984219">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061866984219</a>		There are now more than enough peer-reviewed studies demonstrating biological effects of RF radiation at much, much lower levels than we are currently experiencing around the clock. After an occupational exposure to a strong electromagnetic field, I became electro-sensitive. I now work at home where I am able to limit exposure (shielded cable, outlet filters, etc.,) and therefore some of my symptoms. If OTARD is amended to allow 5G antennas indiscriminately (i.e., on any of my neighbor's roof tops) there will be no escape for me. This is a clear VIOLATION of the ADA. The FCC is currently making cigarette companies look good in comparison...The FCC has failed to update its current allowable exposure limit (not a safety standard) ignoring it's own open docket to re-evaluate its thermal based only standard for years (despite now thousands of studies showing nonthermal biological effects of RF) in clear dereliction of duty. This is a public health nightmare already already unfolding.
	6	Stephanie Stewart	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106171117418976">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106171117418976</a>		I suffer from EMF sensitivity and have spent thousands of dollars to make my home a safe place for me to exist. If you allow this change, you will be driving folks like me out of our homes. This is outrageous. The FCC should study the health impact of these antennas before even considering allowing them on public lands. And private land should be completely off-limits.
	7	Shelley Masters	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617257800411">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617257800411</a>		I have been disabled by wireless electromagnetic technology. EMS - Electromagnetic Sensitivity (EMS) is a condition recognized by the US Access Board of the American's with Disabilities Act. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects - vertigo, massive hair loss, high-pitched ringing in my ears, heart racing palpitations, memory problems, anxiety and depression, sleeping problems, and inflammation so bad in some places I am unable to walk. This deployment is a horrific thing for me. The proposed rules would cause me to lose my home, my business and my life.
<b>FN 8</b>					<b>Brief: Local governments, their telecommunications advisors and local residents objected to preemption of zoning/land use laws that address base stations and other telecommunications equipment that serve multiple users on different properties.</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column I; Column J; Column K.
	2	Comment to the FCC by Kevin Mottus	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf#page=5">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf#page=5</a>		
	3	United States Conference of Mayors, Texas Coalition of Cities for Utility Issues, City of Boston, Massachusetts, City of Dallas, Texas, City of Fountain Valley, California, City of Los Angeles, California, Montgomery County, Maryland	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10521740106656/OTARD%20ex%20parte.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10521740106656/OTARD%20ex%20parte.pdf</a>		
	4	City and County of San Francisco	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617136541653/Exhibit%20A%20-%20Towerstream%20OTARD%20Petition%20For%20Declaration.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617136541653/Exhibit%20A%20-%20Towerstream%20OTARD%20Petition%20For%20Declaration.pdf</a>		
	5	League of Minnesota Cities	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106032281616186/Comments%206-3-2019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106032281616186/Comments%206-3-2019.pdf</a>		

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**  
**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	6	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, Ramsey Washington Suburban Cable Commission, North Suburbs Communications Commission, South Washington County Telecommunications Commission, Northern Dakota County Cable Communications Commission, Edmond, Oklahoma, Coon Rapids, Minnesota, North Metro Telecommunications Commission	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617123204960/Oklahoma%20City%20et%20al.%20OTARD%20Reply%20Comments%2020190617.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617123204960/Oklahoma%20City%20et%20al.%20OTARD%20Reply%20Comments%2020190617.pdf</a>		
	7	WATOA (Washington Assoc. of Telecommunications officers and Advisors)	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10612286673586/GN%20Doc%2019-71%20W%20Supports%20N%20via%20Dortch%2012%20Jun%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10612286673586/GN%20Doc%2019-71%20W%20Supports%20N%20via%20Dortch%2012%20Jun%202019.pdf</a>		
	8	City of Costa Mesa	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603003510286/OTARD%20Comment%20Final-2.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603003510286/OTARD%20Comment%20Final-2.pdf</a>		
	9	Town of North Hempstead, NY	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617078208274">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617078208274</a>		
<b>FN 9</b>					<b>Brief: Homeowners' associations opposed federal elimination of restrictions against commercial activity in residential property.</b>
	1	WISPA March 14, 2019	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1032703169946/OTARD%20Ex%20Parte%20Letter%2003142019.pdf#page=2">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1032703169946/OTARD%20Ex%20Parte%20Letter%2003142019.pdf#page=2</a>		

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

<b>FN</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>Name/Title</b>	<b>URL link</b>	<b>Row #</b>	<b>Quoted Text</b>
	2	Joint Comments of The National Multifamily Housing Council, The National Apartment Association, The Building Owners And Managers Association International, The Institute Of Real Estate Management, Nareit, The National Association Of Realtors, The National Real Estate Investors Association, And The Real Estate Roundtable	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060316355802/NMHC%20et%20al%20OTARD%20Comments%206-3-19%20final%20as%20filed.pdf#page=40">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060316355802/NMHC%20et%20al%20OTARD%20Comments%206-3-19%20final%20as%20filed.pdf#page=40</a>		
<b>FN 10</b>					<b>Brief: Property owners and managers contended that the rule allowed their lessees “to sublease a portion of their leasehold to a fixed wireless provider.</b>
	1	Joint Comments of The National Multifamily Housing Council, The National Apartment Association, The Building Owners And Managers Association International, The Institute Of Real Estate Management, Nareit, The National Association Of Realtors, The National Real Estate Investors Association, And The Real Estate Roundtable	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060316355802/NMHC%20et%20al%20OTARD%20Comments%206-3-19%20final%20as%20filed.pdf#page=44">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060316355802/NMHC%20et%20al%20OTARD%20Comments%206-3-19%20final%20as%20filed.pdf#page=44</a>		
<b>FN 11</b>					<b>Brief: Radiation Sickness.</b>
	1	Letter from Dr. Paul Dart to the FCC (2013)	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10030770729793/Comment%20to%20FCC%20Docket%20ET%2013-84%20by%20Paul%20Dart%20MD%20FCA.pdf#page=21">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10030770729793/Comment%20to%20FCC%20Docket%20ET%2013-84%20by%20Paul%20Dart%20MD%20FCA.pdf#page=21</a>		MICROWAVE SICKNESS - Acute symptoms provoked by microwave radiation. THE EMERGENCE OF "ELECTROHYPERSENSITIVITY" AS A DIAGNOSIS - In recent years the buildup of cellular communication networks has created a markedly increased exposure of the public to RF transmissions. Each new generation of cell phone technology has occupied a higher frequency on the microwave scale, with potentially increasing impact on body physiology...As this has occurred, mounting evidence has pointed to the fact that a percentage of the population experiences adverse reactions associated with these exposures. The term “electrohypersensitivity” (EHS) has been used to describe a constellation of symptoms, including headache, sleep disturbance, difficulty in concentration, memory disturbance, fatigue, depression, irritability, dizziness, malaise, tinnitus, burning and flushed skin, digestive disturbance, tremor, and cardiac irregularities. Sleep disturbance, headache, nervous distress, fatigue, and concentration difficulties are the most commonly described symptoms.

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**  
**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	2	Diplomats' Mystery Illness and Pulsed Radiofrequency / Microwave Radiation; Prof. Beatrice Golomb, MD PhD. (2018)	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091442657471/Cuban%20Embassy-Beatrice%20Golomb%20PhD-Microwave%20Attack.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091442657471/Cuban%20Embassy-Beatrice%20Golomb%20PhD-Microwave%20Attack.pdf</a>		
	3	EUROPAEM EMF Guideline 2016 for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10912370524280/EUROPAEM%20EMF%20Guideline%202016%20for%20the%20prevention%2C%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF-related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%202016.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10912370524280/EUROPAEM%20EMF%20Guideline%202016%20for%20the%20prevention%2C%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF-related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%202016.pdf</a>		
	4	Guideline of the Austrian Medical Association for the diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses (EMF syndrome) (2011)	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091546800918/Guideline%20of%20the%20Austrian%20Medical%20Association%20for%20the%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF%20related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%20(EMF%20syndrome).">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091546800918/Guideline%20of%20the%20Austrian%20Medical%20Association%20for%20the%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF%20related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%20(EMF%20syndrome).</a>		
	5	Dr. Erica Mallery Blyth - EHS (2014)	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1005862318785/Dr_Erica_Mallery-Blythe_EHS_A_Summary_Working_Draft_Version_1_Dec_2014_for_EESC_Brussels_(3)%20(1).pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1005862318785/Dr_Erica_Mallery-Blythe_EHS_A_Summary_Working_Draft_Version_1_Dec_2014_for_EESC_Brussels_(3)%20(1).pdf</a>		
FN 12					<b>Brief: Avoidance is the only means to prevent symptoms.</b>

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	1	EUROPAEM EMF Guideline 2016 for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10912370524280/EUROPAEM%20EMF%20Guideline%202016%20for%20the%20prevention%2C%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF-related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%202016.pdf#page=2">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10912370524280/EUROPAEM%20EMF%20Guideline%202016%20for%20the%20prevention%2C%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF-related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%202016.pdf#page=2</a>		The primary method of treatment should mainly focus on the prevention or reduction of EMF exposure, that is, reducing or eliminating all sources of high EMF exposure at home and at the workplace. The reduction of EMF exposure should also be extended to public spaces such as schools, hospitals, public transport, and libraries to enable persons with EHS an unhindered use (accessibility measure).
	2	Austrian Medical Association Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of EMF-Related Health Problems and illnesses (EMF syndrome)	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091546800918/Guideline%20of%20the%20Austrian%20Medical%20Association%20for%20the%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF%20related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%20(EMF%20syndrome).pdf#page=11">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091546800918/Guideline%20of%20the%20Austrian%20Medical%20Association%20for%20the%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF%20related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%20(EMF%20syndrome).pdf#page=11</a>		The primary method of treatment should consist in the prevention or reduction of EMF exposure, taking care to reduce or eliminate all sources of EMF if possible.
	3	Dr. Erica Mallery Blyth - EHS - 2014	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1005862318785/Dr_Erica_Mallery-Blythe_EHS_A_Summary_Working_Draft_Version_1_Dec_2014_for_EESC_Brussels_(3)%20(1).pdf#page=5">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1005862318785/Dr_Erica_Mallery-Blythe_EHS_A_Summary_Working_Draft_Version_1_Dec_2014_for_EESC_Brussels_(3)%20(1).pdf#page=5</a>		Research has shown avoidance can be the only reliable form of management to improve symptoms.
	4	Electrohypersensitivity as a Newly Identified and Characterized Neurologic Pathological Disorder: How to Diagnose, Treat, and Prevent It	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/109160003723483/Electrohypersensitivity%20as%20a%20Newly%20Identified%20and%20Characterized%20Neurologic%20Pathological%20Disorder%3B%20How%20to%20Diagnose%2C%20Treat%20and%20Prevent%20it-Belpomme%202020.pdf#page=19">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/109160003723483/Electrohypersensitivity%20as%20a%20Newly%20Identified%20and%20Characterized%20Neurologic%20Pathological%20Disorder%3B%20How%20to%20Diagnose%2C%20Treat%20and%20Prevent%20it-Belpomme%202020.pdf#page=19</a>		However, in our experience and to our knowledge, hypersensitivity to EMF...never disappears, meaning – unlike symptomatic intolerance – EHS... appear to be associated with some irreversible neurologic pathological state, requiring strong and persistent prevention. So, contrary to some recent claims, we believe these disorders cannot be merely reduced to some type of functional impairment." "Such measures should include as much as possible EMF and chemical avoidance, use of anti-EMF clothes, and earthing-related electric charge detoxication. In addition, public preventive measures for the most vulnerable people—particularly pregnant women, infants, children, and adolescents— should be taken by limiting or even totally avoiding the use of wireless technology in these conditions.

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	5	Council of Europe - The Parliamentary Assembly (2011)	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10908218268552/Council%20of%20Europe.%20Parliamentary%20Assembly.%20The%20potential%20dangers%20of%20electromagnetic%20fields%20and%20their%20effect%20on%20the%20environment.%20Doc.pdf#page=3">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10908218268552/Council%20of%20Europe.%20Parliamentary%20Assembly.%20The%20potential%20dangers%20of%20electromagnetic%20fields%20and%20their%20effect%20on%20the%20environment.%20Doc.pdf#page=3</a>		8.1.4. pay particular attention to “electrosensitive” persons suffering from a syndrome of intolerance to electromagnetic fields and introduce special measures to protect them, including the creation of wave-free areas not covered by the wireless network.
<b>FN 13</b>					<b>Brief: Those affected therefore require avoidance in their homes.</b>
	1	Jennifer Page	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106180389713288">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106180389713288</a>		I get very sick when around wifi, cellphones, smart meters and all RF radiation. It causes me heart palpitations, TIA mini strokes, headaches, dizziness, burning and itching skin and a whole list of other horrible symptoms...I need my home to be a safe place where I do not have to suffer. Something that invades the air-space of my home, denies me basic rights outlined in the US Constitution. Please take this into serious consideration.
	2	Carol Spoelma	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617163630880">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617163630880</a>		I have severe health issues when I am unable to stop the wireless frequencies in my environment. My home is the only place I can control the RF. Please don't take my only safe spot away from me... Please don't allow these companies to profit on this while they make us even sicker.
	3	Kristi Taylor	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=616">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=616</a>	R13283	My health plummeted when living in working in high concentrations of wireless emissions, as confirmed by my physician. My home is my refuge and I do NOT consent to being violated by radiation in my own personal space. R-E-S-P-E-C-T my body autonomy.
	4	Joanne Chieppa	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=113">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=113</a>	R2359	I do not consent to be exposed to wireless radiation in my home. I am immune compromised and have had heart symptoms, memory issues and dizziness when exposed to wifi for too long. My home needs to be a safe place for me. Especially now.
<b>FN 14</b>					<b>Brief: Those affected therefore require avoidance in their homes, but the rule completely deprives them any way to ensure that happens, or even know a system is contemplated for their area.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column A; Column B; Column K
	2	ARR-T2			
	3	Nina Beety	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106030636121143">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106030636121143</a>		These rules would deny the public their due process including adherence to local rules, public notification and public hearings, data on installations and equipment, and discretionary permitting. These rules would deny people their privacy and property rights. These nuisances would be exempt from regulations... In previous proceedings, the commission has heard ample testimony from Americans impacted by FCC policy and wireless radiation exposure. Americans disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities have told the commission of the serious disabling health effects they are experiencing now with current exposure levels. The commission was urged by the cities of Boston and Philadelphia in 2013 to address the ADA and the “serious medical problem” of electromagnetic sensitivity “The dockets here have been updated with massive additional evidence of the crippling effects of RF radiation on an admitted minority – but a suffering minority – of U.S. citizens “But the commission has remained silent and continued with its plans.
	4	Angela Lyubarsky	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617348610913">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617348610913</a>		Many people like myself have diagnosed environmental illness, that makes them sensitive to wi-fi/ wireless, among other environmental factors. If the 5G gets put on my home, or even my neighbors home, that in in the close proximity to my dwelling, within 500 ft, then I would have to move. I think it is very wrong, to force people out of their homes!
	5	Janet Tache	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1003177733719">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1003177733719</a>		My family and I are deeply concerned that the FCC is thinking of changing the OTARD rule to allow wireless companies to install their powerful antennas on private homes and apartment buildings across the country. The OTARD rule was for receiving into one's own home, and not for transmitting into a neighborhood. If a neighbor of ours installed a 5G antenna, we would have to sell our home because two of our family members are intensely sensitive to wireless radiations. .... I am strongly urging that the FCC not change its OTARD rule for the sake of public health and the preservation of local and state rights. Our Constitution guarantees states rights and local rights. It does not guarantee the rights of corporate interests to usurp our Constitution.
	6	Shelley Masters	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617257800411">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617257800411</a>		I have been disabled by wireless electromagnetic technology. EMS - Electromagnetic Sensitivity (EMS) is a condition recognized by the US Access Board of the American's with Disabilities Act. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects - vertigo, massive hair loss, high-pitched ringing in my ears, heart racing palpitations, memory problems, anxiety and depression, sleeping problems, and inflammation so bad in some places I am unable to walk. This deployment is a horrific thing for me. The proposed rules would cause me to lose my home, my business and my life. The FCC has not provided the citizens this country assurance that 5G is safe. 5G needs more regulation, not less.



### Addendum Record Reference - Table 1

#### Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	7	Virginia Cottone	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10603183726745">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10603183726745</a>		Local governments must retain authority over type, impacts, placement, etc. of network systems for utilities regardless of location on public or private property. These devices generate dangerous electromagnetic fields which do not recognize property boundaries and will impact citizens who may suffer dire health consequences as a result. There must be a local remedy and local governments must retain control via a permitting process that includes public notice, review and comment. These rules would deny people of their privacy and property rights. Please reject these proposed rules.
	8	Kathleen Heller	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/100581565624">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/100581565624</a>		Please do not allow wireless transmitters to be installed with no controls regarding the effects on others. Many of us are extremely sensitive to these energies and are being unfairly exposed without our consent or even notified at what is happening here. I am one of those people, and while seeking medical care, found I could not sleep at all, agitated all night for two weeks, twice, until my husband pointed out the cell tower by the hotel. We found a wireless "map" of registered cell towers in Salt Lake City, and next trip chose a hotel furthest from any tower, and I slept fine! Business should not override personal safety of citizens!! YOU are charged with that responsibility, and I don't want to be subjected to poisonous EMF running through my body, wrecking havoc with my biology! Business is not paying the true cost of testing or health safety of these systems, nor are they paying for the damage they inflict! Enough of passing on the costs to those who are damaged by a money making/profit centered disembodied, irresponsible to any children or biological beings. Their profits should not override Public Safety!!!! That is your business? Or who's? Please consider the effects these people are having, and how the rest of us must pay, pay attention, and find a way to cover ourselves by withdrawal, perhaps, from this cultural suicide! Don't force me to get sick!!!! Or sicker!
	9	Warren Woodward	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1060348533760">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1060348533760</a>		EMF emissions from wireless devices cause disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation. Blocking the assertion of disabled persons' rights and their due process. As well, people, including in rural and low income areas, will be offered monthly income to host these systems on their property, and the facilities will be exempt from permitting, public notice, hearings, RF reports, and land use regulations. Neighbors will be pitted against neighbors, as people grab for the money offered by companies. Antennas will suddenly appear. Because these antennas would be exempt, cities and counties will likely have no information about them – their specs, output, etc. Companies won't have to go to city hall for a permit before rolling them out. That's not right.
<b>FN 15</b>					<b>Brief: FCC did nothing about them, and in fact took affirmative steps that make it harder for them to know of the incipient threat. They will learn about the installation only when their symptoms get worse and they must flee.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column A; Column B; Colum I; Column K.
	2	ARR-T2			
	3	Debra R. Van Dusen	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1011037210617">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1011037210617</a>		Electro-sensitive people like myself, who are already unable to live near existing cell towers and antennas because of debilitating physical impacts, will have nowhere to go. Even if we find what we think is a safe place to live, we will not be able to control whether a neighbor installs a 5G antenna right next door. Possibly we will not know it has happened until we become sick. You must not take away community control over the installation of 5G antennas. This is a technology that has NOT been scientifically demonstrated as safe. Allowing it to spread everywhere through our community while we have no voice on placement is tantamount to treating us as unwilling (and unknowing) lab animals in a disastrously large experiment. Please do not let the telecom companies bulldoze our right to decide in their scramble for 5G market profits.
	4	Virginia Cottone	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10603183726745">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10603183726745</a>		These devices generate dangerous electromagnetic fields which do not recognize property boundaries and will impact citizens who may suffer dire health consequences as a result. There must be a local remedy and local governments must retain control via a permitting process that includes public notice, review and comment. These rules would deny people of their privacy and property rights.
<b>FN 16</b>					<b>Brief: Sufferers must already surmount tremendous difficulties, mistreatment, and discrimination. They cannot go into public spaces, access medical care, obtain public services, use public transportation, drive, fly, stay at a hotel or have a job.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column A; Column B.
	2	ARR-T2			
	3	Mary Beth Brangan	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106180614607035/OTARD%2019-71%20Comments%20June%2017%2C%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106180614607035/OTARD%2019-71%20Comments%20June%2017%2C%202019.pdf</a>		Already there are growing numbers of severely RF injured people who have no where to go to avoid these ubiquitous and increasing frequencies and whose lives are hell from painful reactions they can't escape. The ADA law should protect them but is infrequently honored.
	4	Matina Johnson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf</a>		In my current job, I am an instructor of various computer classes and provide telephone support. There are several buildings where we teach. Unfortunately, they all have wireless technology throughout the buildings which causes me to get ill if I have to work in those places. My employer even wants to fire me because I can't come into the building to work even though a large majority of the most of the work can be done at home.
	5	Matina Johnson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf</a>		Having an electromagnetic sensitivity is an isolating illness that causes me to stay at home most of the time. This health issue also affects my time with family and friends. Places like stores, restaurants, movies, events, airports/airplanes are all laced with EMFs

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	6	Cathy Grippi	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=248">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=248</a>	R5279	I know several people who are so harmed by wireless radiation that they cannot hold jobs, do their own shopping and generally live like most - (who are unaware of the harm wireless radiation is taking place on all of us). They can't get medical care.
	7	Vicki Simpson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=578">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=578</a>	R12442	I am no longer able to go to many local restaurants, to the movie theater or just to stroll in many parts of the city because wireless radiation is concentrated to a dangerous level at these places and this concentration makes me ill. Even our library
	8	Cori Roberts	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=527">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=527</a>	R11387	My husband has migraine headaches that sometimes last for a week straight caused by wireless radiation. He is the sole support for our family and he loses many days a year of work due to his migraines and is on FMLA for this. Please don't make his condi
<b>FN 17</b>					<b>Brief: Sick Children and teachers are forced out of schools and into social isolation. Finding a home has become almost impossible; some live in their cars, refugees with nowhere to go.</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column A; Column B.
	2	<b>ARR-T2</b>			
	3	Angela Tsiang	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106181427814865">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106181427814865</a>		I am a mother of 2 boys, ages 10 and 14, a chemical engineer, and recently, a homeschooling parent. In 2013 my oldest son, who was only 9 at the time, became ill from two cell towers installed right next to his school. Since that exposure, he became sensitized to wireless radiation, particularly school wi-fi. His symptoms when exposed to wireless radiation included insomnia...painful skin rashes, headaches, and other neurological and immunological symptoms. (I have physician diagnosis and proof that his illness was caused by wireless radiation) His reaction to wi-fi and cell tower radiation has become so severe that our lives have changed forever - from choosing the location of our home and schools (to avoid cell towers) to avoiding areas with high levels of wireless radiation such as public parks with cell towers. My younger son developed problems as well, but to a lesser degree (because of his shorter exposure time to the school cell towers). This year, because of the prevalence of wi-fi in schools and smart phones and wireless devices among students, I had to begin homeschooling my kids for the sake of their health. It was something we did not plan on but had to do out of necessity. My husband, who has a PhD in Chemical Engineering, and I had both hoped that our boys would pursue a career in science, engineering or medicine, but now that they become ill in the presence of wireless radiation, how will they attend college when just about every college campus has a cell tower and uses wi-fi? And now with FCC's plan to speed 5G to market, which would put small cell antennas on every residential street, how will I protect my boys?
	4	Laurie Brown	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=78">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=78</a>	R1612	I am a school teacher that became very sick (microwave sickness/ electrosensitivity) when my school district installed a new commercial strength wifi system, adding 190+ routers on our campus. I do not consent to radiation crossing my property boundaries.
	5	Jennifer DeFusco	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=152">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=152</a>	R3222	Six years ago, I was sickened/injured by wireless radiation. It was being emitted from mobile phone antennas, concealed in the roof of a building in which I taught school. Not knowing those antennas were there.
	6	Matina Johnson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf</a>		Having an electromagnetic sensitivity is an isolating illness that causes me to stay at home most of the time. I have heard from others with this health issue who are not able to live in their communities now and have lived in their cars or tent or suffer in their current communities. I don't want to be forced to live in my car. I have rights and so do the rest of those who suffer like I do.
<b>FN 18</b>					<b>Brief: Radiation Sickness sufferers face a dismal future: progressive worsening from unavoidable, ever-increasing and more intense exposure from multiple sources.</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column A; Column B.

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	2	ARR-T2			
	3	Mary Beth Brangan	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106180614607035/OTARD%2019-71%20Comments%20June%2017%2C%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106180614607035/OTARD%2019-71%20Comments%20June%2017%2C%202019.pdf</a>		Already there are growing numbers of severely RF injured people who have no where to go to avoid these ubiquitous and increasing frequencies and whose lives are hell from painful reactions they can't escape. The ADA law should protect them but is infrequently honored.
	4	Mary Adkins	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=6">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=6</a>	R104	Wireless technology currently in place has already destroyed our health and our lives (me and my children), and barred equal access to our home (actually, several of them). There is already no way to escape these emissions that sicken us.
	5	Angela Tsiang	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106181427814865">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106181427814865</a>		I am a mother of 2 boys, ages 10 and 14, a chemical engineer, and recently, a homeschooling parent. In 2013 my oldest son, who was only 9 at the time, became ill from two cell towers installed right next to his school... My younger son developed problems as well, but to a lesser degree... My husband, who has a PhD in Chemical Engineering, and I had both hoped that our boys would pursue a career in science, engineering or medicine, but now that they become ill in the presence of wireless radiation, how will they attend college when just about every college campus has a cell tower and uses wi-fi? And now with FCC's plan to speed 5G to market, which would put small cell antennas on every residential street, how will I protect my boys?
	6	Kevin Mottus	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf#page=4">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf#page=4</a>		As described in the testimonials attached to this commenters many comments, some members of the population are already Microwave Sick, Electro hypersensitive, Electromagnetic Sensitive or whatever term you want to use for people being sickened, injured and sometimes disabled by wireless radiation emissions. This expansion of the OTARD rule will only worsen their sickness, injury and disability, and violate their right to live safely and healthfully in their home. For some this expanded rule will result in an impaired ability to work, participate, and contribute meaningfully to society. This cannot be allowed.
FN 19					<b>Brief: Many become desperate and hopeless because constant RF tortures them beyond their ability to survive or cope. Some contemplate suicide.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column A; Column B.
	2	ARR-T2			
	3	Belpomme 2020	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/109160003723483/Electrohypersensitivity%20as%20a%20Newly%20Identified%20and%20Characterized%20Neurologic%20Pathological%20Disorder%3B%20How%20to%20Diagnose%2C%20Treat%20and%20Prevent%20it-Belpomme%202020.pdf#page=6">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/109160003723483/Electrohypersensitivity%20as%20a%20Newly%20Identified%20and%20Characterized%20Neurologic%20Pathological%20Disorder%3B%20How%20to%20Diagnose%2C%20Treat%20and%20Prevent%20it-Belpomme%202020.pdf#page=6</a>		Table 3 on Page 5-6 - 20% of people with Radiation Sickness (referred to as "EHS") reported thinking about committing suicide.
	4	Brigitte Otto	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618072354586">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618072354586</a>		Even though I'm obviously unable to benefit from cell-phone antennas, I'm forced to be exposed to radiation emitted by them. They intrude into my apartment. Please don't cause me to suffer more by adding 5G antennas to the ones that torture me already.
	5	Jennifer Wood	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=685">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=685</a>	R14685	I have suffered 24 years of torture and repeated exile due to wireless radiation since 1996-97 when cell phones went from analog to digital and sales skyrocketed. Seven of these years were spent fighting off a likely death (according to my doctors).

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	6	Alison Herron	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=276">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=276</a>	R5918	I am already struggling with significant health problems due to electrohypersensitivity. Any increases in the radiation levels already existing in my community would ruin any chances I have of regaining my health, and jeopardize the mental, emotional.
	7	Kerry Lovell	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=378">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=378</a>	R8086	My son has had trouble sleeping and plagued by frequent headaches since the installation of 5G towers across the road and down the street from our home. I cannot bear to have any of these towers closer to our property, my son will become even sicker!
	8	Anthony Lacoparra	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=351">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=351</a>	R7495	My wife is 100% disabled from radio frequency radiation. It is life threatening for my wife she will die.
<b>FN 31</b>					<b>Brief: These zoning processes serve important civic purposes by providing a venue for local citizens to receive notice of a project that may affect their rights.</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column K; Column I; Column J.
	2	Kevin Mottus	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf#page=4">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf#page=4</a>		The public is interested in having input in what happens in their neighborhood and this expanded rule is counter to that interest. ... If the public is so interested in this technology and these antennas, why not stick to the process that has been in place for decades for placing cell tower antennas which provides notice to the public and allows for input of the public and is monitored, maintained, and regulated by a local government body to ensure that the public interests are considered. This expanded rule is designed to exclude the public and their interests..... As a public government commission, the FCC's number one priority should be to serve the public, not exclude the public's input; it should not be to serve business interest over public interest by "help spur investment in and deployment of needed infrastructure"..... The FCC is clearly leaving out the interests of the public by eliminating in the expansion of this rule, the public's input from the process who live around and in close proximity to these transmitting antennas with this expansion of the OTARD rule.... For decades, we have had procedures and due process in place that must continue to be abided by that take into account the public interest when installing transmitting antenna which effect the public.... t this rule intended for a single residence only receiving transmissions is inappropriate to expand and change its nature because it does not allow for input of the other residences being affected and does not allow for a governing body representing these other residences to be involved in the decision making. The expansion of the OTARD rule in FCC docket WT 19-71 based on the comments of FCC commissioners defending it is a clear and obvious work around of due process..... As stated in OTARD FCC docket WT 19-71 "We anticipate that revising the OTARD framework would allow wireless providers to deploy hub and relay antennas more quickly and efficiently and help spur investment in and deployment of needed infrastructure in a manner which is consistent with the public interest." This is ridiculous, magical thinking on the part of the FCC to say that this is being done in the public interest while the FCC is excluding public input by expanding this rule. and administrative procedures put in place to regulate cell tower placement because the wireless industry and specifically WISPA is frustrated because residents across the country do not want 5G antennas and other harmful wireless antennas in their neighborhood.... This expanded rule provides no protections for small neighborhoods and communities that will be affected by the hazardous wireless emissions from these antennas installed by individual residents with the exclusion of public input or regulatory control.... Due to the known biological impact of wireless emissions from cell towers on those living surrounding these cell towers, we cannot afford to circumvent the process and omit critical public input.... Boosters should be treated as any other powerful transmitter and should be subjected to the same type of review as a full size cell tower antenna with the coinciding public input and of course biological safety testing which the FCC currently fails to do.

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	3	Mark Wahl	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060408611530/Letter%20to%20FCC%20on%20recent%20Rule%20to%20allow%20all%20antennas%20on%20private%20property.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060408611530/Letter%20to%20FCC%20on%20recent%20Rule%20to%20allow%20all%20antennas%20on%20private%20property.pdf</a>		This update in the rules... is not just a tweak but an entire reconfiguring of the meaning and intention of the original rule. It is, of course, in line with other recent FCC rules involving 5G, antenna location, and pre-emption of local control by the activities, whims and desires of wireless companies. This literally turns towns and rural areas into the "Wild West—Anything Goes" regimen for locating intense radiation devices in densely inhabited areas. It opens the way for all competing wireless companies to redundantly locate radar-frequency emitters within 50 feet of each other in the middle of dense populations if desired, as long as private landowners are available to hold out their hands for hard cash. Though the telcos may turn their deaf ears toward any complaint about health effects (1996 Telco Act which the CT supreme court says indemnifies them), the private landowners are not so indemnified against lawsuits by neighbors and public passers-by based on nuisance, disability, assault, and many other legal factors. This is, of course, as the telcos and their abetting FCC want it, i.e., to "externalize" the liabilities created by 5G as they disseminate it without restraint. (Note that Swiss Re, massive re-insurer, has recently re-declared that it will not underwrite any liability created by health effects of 5G for any entity including companies, governments or individuals.) There now is overwhelming internationally verified evidence (which the FCC has refused to acknowledge since 1996), that the non-ionizing radiation of wifi frequencies have strong health effects. The property owners who cooperate with telcos in this assault on the public welfare can be legally challenged by appeals these peer-reviewed results. In so doing, citizens are turned against other citizens who collecting lease money from the telcos and community divisions result. Thus, this is an assault on the cohesion of communities as well as on the health and well being of the populace. I and my constituents in CLEAR located in WA State strongly oppose such a ruling against local sovereignty of regulating bodies as well as civic cohesion and health. Please revise, or better revoke, this ruling.
	4	Nancy Wallace	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617052375405">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617052375405</a>		Local and state zoning controlled by the voters are a cornerstone of us living together in our society. They are essential to protecting not only the health and aesthetics of our neighborhoods, but also the good relations between neighbors. This is another outrageous step by the telecommunications industry to circumvent the democratic processes of our country. I do NOT want 5G, faster internet, driverless cars, more streaming videos, and all the other technologies promised by denser 4G and 5G. ...I am ashamed that a US government agency would ignore the science showing harm of 4G. We do NOT need to microwave our communities, neighbors, families, selves, and most importantly the planet. If this technology is so safe and wonderful, why is the industry eliminating all regulation? What is the telecommunications industry so scared of? ... Your duty is to re-apply federal law, and allow all state and local laws to apply to this and every industry.
	5	Mary Beth Brangan	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106180614607035/OTARD%2019-71%20Comments%20June%2017%2C%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106180614607035/OTARD%2019-71%20Comments%20June%2017%2C%202019.pdf</a>		It should not be changed to allow wireless companies to put their 5G antennas on private homes in an attempt to avoid legal oversight. Threats to security, safety and privacy already abound from exponentially increasing use of wireless technologies. What legal remedy would be available to a neighbor adversely affected by a 5G antenna on a nearby property? What legal protections would a property owner have against property devaluation? What responsibility does the FCC have to inform a prospective property owner considering placing a 5G antenna on their property about potential liability for harming neighbors? What responsibility does a property owner have to inform neighbors about the presence of a 5G antenna on their property?
	6	Virginia Cotton	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10603183726745">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10603183726745</a>		Local governments must retain authority over type, impacts, placement, etc. of network systems for utilities regardless of location on public or private property. These devices generate dangerous electromagnetic fields which do not recognize property boundaries and will impact citizens who may suffer dire health consequences as a result. There must be a local remedy and local governments must retain control via a permitting process that includes public notice, review and comment. These rules would deny people of their privacy and property rights. Please reject these proposed rules.
<b>FN 36</b>					<b>Brief: More than 80 individuals directly advised the FCC that they and/or other family members developed Radiation Sickness or described consistent symptoms.</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column A.
	2	<b>ARR-T2</b>			
	3	Virginia Farver	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106031590501182">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106031590501182</a>		I lost my Son from this type of radiation and in the film "Take Back Your Power" www.takebackyourpower.net Book: An Electronic Silent Spring www.anelectronicsilentpring.com I am also effected by EMFS by wireless devices which cause me disabling health effects. The propose rules would allow the proliferation of EMF emitting wireless antennas without regulations. The FCC will be blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process. Under the ADA how are cities/counties going to accommodate effected people. How are our cities/towns going to afford this? This is nothing more than the overreach of industry controlling the FCC! This is a land-grab by the wireless providers in our rights-of-ways throughout or cities and counties. It is outrageous and must stop! I've paid the ultimate price and TRUST ME, there is NO amount of money or power that would ever make me whole again. This has devastated my life and the lives of my family! Your families will all now be in harms way, just because an industry that should have been stopped years ago! The price is too high both in human health, energy and costs.
	4	Melissa Corinne Senger	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617547901248">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617547901248</a>		I am a resident of Elburn with multiple brain tumors, severe migraine disorder, and vertigo. These symptoms are exacerbated greatly by EMF emissions from wireless devices to the point of being quite debilitating. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation, blocking the assertion of my rights and my due process. The installation of 5G on my property or in my town without my or my town's consent would be disabling to my health and infringes upon my rights as well as state's and town's rights.
	5	Kathleen Heller	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/100581565624">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/100581565624</a>		Many of us are extremely sensitive to these energies and are being unfairly exposed without our consent or even notified at what is happening here. I am one of those people, and while seeking medical care, found I could not sleep at all, agitated all night for two weeks, twice, until my husband pointed out the cell tower by the hotel... Please consider the effects these people are having...! Don't force me to get sick!!!! Or sicker!
	6	James S. Wazorick	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061795629597">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061795629597</a>		My wife is chronically ill, dealing with disabling effects of brain tumors and autoimmunity, and she is especially sensitive to EMF emissions. Your proposal could very likely cause severe health consequences for my wife and many others, and it is at odds with our Constitutionally protected rights to Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	7	Chuck Hinz	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618099426968">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618099426968</a>		My dear wife was severely injured by exposure to a massive 4G tower when she was assigned a beautiful window office overlooking the tower which was just 150 feet away. She received a direct hit of 25,000 microwatts per meter squared of Radiofrequencies day in day out and within a year it crippled her, full on microwave illness. Then the other people in her office who had window offices also became sick - nosebleeds, miscarriages, unexplained anxiety, sleeplessness, hair falling out, Vertigo, etc. Proximity is everything when it comes to these macro and small cell towers. The close proximity of 5G devices is going to bring this country to its knees and everyone is going to get sick. How is this lost on you? How can you live with yourself? How will your children look at you when they get sick?
	8	Dan Kleiber	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106182471023046/FCC19-36OTARD_Dan17June2019%20(1).pdf#page=4">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/106182471023046/FCC19-36OTARD_Dan17June2019%20(1).pdf#page=4</a>		My family has already experienced harm from the rapidly rising ambient levels of RFR. The rising ambient RFR levels, due to the recent wireless insanity, are already causing my family to experience symptoms of Radiofrequency Sickness ... My sons get cardiac arrhythmias. My wife is functionally impaired by RFR in multiple ways. I experience high blood sugar and increased insulin resistance when I am exposed to RFR, in addition to other symptoms.... The rapidly increasing RFR levels is impacting our ability to earn a living. I used to vend at farmers markets in Madison, Wisconsin and I am no longer able to do so due to the rising ambient RFR levelscausing serious neurological symptoms suggestive of incipient ALS. The symptoms disappeared at the end of market season when I was able to spend time at my shielded home in much lower ambient RFR levels. (The levels outside our home are hundreds to thousands of times lower than ambient Madison levels. Even so, I can tell the improvement in my health when I am inside my shielded home where the levels are a third to a tenth the ambient outside levels and peaks are reduced by over half.) ALS is a progressive fatal neurological disorder whose incidence is increasing ... ALS is being linked to factors including oxidative damage (positively linked to , EMF exposure, and military service which would entail high levels of RFR exposure.
	9	Janet Tache	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1003177733719">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1003177733719</a>		If a neighbor of ours installed a 5G antenna, we would have to sell our home because two of our family members are intensely sensitive to wireless radiations. The placement of these antennas subjects nearby residents to 24/7 radiation exposure without their consent. Chronic exposure to RF microwave radiation has been proven to cause an array of human health problems, including cancer. International scientific studies have shown this to be true. Surgeons at Stanford University are calling certain brain tumors "cell phone tumors" since 2005, when a friend of ours had to have brain surgery. And this was back in the days of 3G.
<b>FN 37</b>					<b>Brief:It is a "spectrum condition." Some experience discomfort while others are entirely debilitated. For some the symptoms can be life threatening.</b>
	1	EUROPAEM EMF Guideline 2016 for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10912370524280/EUROPAEM%20EMF%20Guideline%202016%20for%20the%20prevention%2C%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF-related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%202016.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10912370524280/EUROPAEM%20EMF%20Guideline%202016%20for%20the%20prevention%2C%20diagnosis%20and%20treatment%20of%20EMF-related%20health%20problems%20and%20illnesses%202016.pdf</a>		The health problems may range in severity from benign, temporary symptoms, such as slight headaches or paresthesia around the ear, e.g. when using a mobile phone, or flu-like symptoms after maybe some hours of whole-body EMF exposure, to severe, debilitating symptoms that drastically impair physical and mental health.
	2	Anthony Lacoparra	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=351">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=351</a>	R7495	My wife is 100% disabled from radio frequency radiation. It is life threatening for my wife she will die.
	3	Kelly Marcotulli	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=386">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=386</a>	R8365	I am sensitive to wireless signals. I get a headache and a loud ringing in my ears when near wifi and cell phones or towers. Please, respect my rights to a safe and healthy future. No small cell antennas on homes, or near schools.
<b>FN 39</b>					<b>Brief: They specifically requested accommodation so they could at least have and maintain peaceful enjoyment of their own home—their final refuge.</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column C.

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	2	Matina Johnson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf</a>		I am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation, blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process...The safest place for me is inside my home or gardening in my backyard. If FCC 19-71 is passed, neighbors' devices would cause me to not be able to garden any longer. Additionally, I would get sick inside my own home because of nearby devices and would be forced move. But where would I move if there are devices EVERYWHERE?!... I hope that lawmakers would recognize that people who have this sensitivity do exist and need to be heard and thought of when making laws, designing buildings and communities, and provide safety for us people...The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation, blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process.
	3	Jonathan Mirin	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618282223651">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618282223651</a>		The OTARD rule should not be changed to allow wireless companies to put their 5G antennas on private homes Incentivizing Americans to harm their neighbors is an excellent recipe for tearing apart communities. If our neighbors, for example, had significant medical expenses and thought placing a 5G antenna on their home might be a way out, what would we say to them?We would have to explain that my wife has been injured by electromagnetic pollution, her right to freedom of movement is already been largely taken away for going on 9 years, her home is a refuge for her and that her rights are protected under the Americans with Disabilities Act.
	4	McKenzie Jennings	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf</a>		This is an official request under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) that you discontinue the legislative process pertaining to the OTARD rule. I'm learning there are many health consequences to this technology that apply to my conditions. I attest and confirm that I am diagnosed with epilepsy and four other major diagnoses. Almost all of my conditions, especially the epilepsy, involve my central nervous system, which includes the brain. Epilepsy is a brain disfunction where there is abnormal electrical activity. The microwave radiation from these antennas will utilized pulsed millimeter waves and will be pulsing 24/7. This pulsing from these wireless facilities will cause an increased frequency of seizures by interfering with my brain function, interrupting my electrical impulses, and causing damage to my compromised conetral nervous system...My mom and dad work tirelessly to protect me from exposure to things in my environment. They have hardwired our computers and we do not use a microwave. Continual exposure to microwave radiation would increase my seizure frequency, cause physical impairment due to the increased number of seizures, and further damage my central nervous system and brain; all of which will negatively affect my quality of life and ability to function. I would not be able to be part of society as wireless, microwave radiation will be pervasive. I request you not change the OTARD rule so no one in the US will suffer ill health or have to live in an unsafe environment, which includes me and my family. Please inform me of your intent to comply with this ADA request.
<b>FN 40</b>					<b>Brief: If not they will have to leave and search for a new place in order to have a tolerable existence.</b>
	1	Malcolm Clark	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=117">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=117</a>	R2455	I'm radiation sensitive and cannot tolerate proximity to microwave transmitters. I've already had move away from a cell transmitter once to maintain my health. I should not have to be forced to do this!!
	2	Shelley Masters	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617257800411">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617257800411</a>		I have been disabled by wireless electromagnetic technology. EMS - Electromagnetic Sensitivity (EMS) is a condition recognized by the US Access Board of the American's with Disabilities Act. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects - vertigo, massive hair loss, high-pitched ringing in my ears, heart racing palpitations, memory problems, anxiety and depression, sleeping problems, and inflammation so bad in some places I am unable to walk. This deployment is a horrific thing for me. The proposed rules would cause me to lose my home, my business and my life. The FCC has not provided the citizens this country assurance that 5G is safe. 5G needs more regulation, not less. Please notify me when the FCC has posted the final proposed rules
	3	Renee Shaeffer	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=568">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=568</a>	R12241	Please, STOP this! My parents' health has declined ever since a 5G tower was installed next door to them. They are having to move as a result.
	4	Derek Wade	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=652">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=652</a>	R14073	We have been greatly affected by wireless radiation near our home. In fact we moved because a tower was within 250 yards of our home. This would be a nightmare if it passed. I'm signing to keep my family safe.
<b>FN 41</b>					<b>Brief: ...but expressed reasonable fear they could not find another safe place to live given the touted coming ubiquity of these systems.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column A; Column B.
	2	ARR-T2			

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	3	Debra R. Van Dusen	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1011037210617">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1011037210617</a>		Electro-sensitive people like myself, who are already unable to live near existing cell towers and antennas because of debilitating physical impacts, will have nowhere to go. Even if we find what we think is a safe place to live, we will not be able to control whether a neighbor installs a 5G antenna right next door. Possibly we will not know it has happened until we become sick. You must not take away community control over the installation of 5G antennaPlease do not let the telecom companies bulldoze our right to decide in their scramble for 5G market profits.
	4	Matina Johnson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf</a>		If FCC 19-71 is passed, neighbors' devices would cause me to not be able to garden any longer. Additionally, I would get sick inside my own home because of nearby devices and would be forced move. But where would I move if there are devices EVERYWHERE?!
<b>FN 44</b>					<b>Brief: All Petitioners submitted comments</b>
	1	Angela Tsiang Comment	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106181427814865">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106181427814865</a>		Are you planning on informing citizens that 5G antennas put on their homes under your proposal to expand OTARD could cause them health problems, including cancer? I am a mother of 2 boys, ages 10 and 14, a chemical engineer, and recently, a homeschooling parent. In 2013 my oldest son, who was only 9 at the time, became ill from two cell towers installed right next to his school. Since that exposure, he became sensitized to wireless radiation, particularly school wi-fi. His symptoms when exposed to wireless radiation included insomnia, digestive problems, severe allergies, painful skin rashes, headaches, and other neurological and immunological symptoms. (I have physician diagnosis and proof that his illness was caused by wireless radiation) His reaction to wi-fi and cell tower radiation has become so severe that our lives have changed forever - from choosing the location of our home and schools (to avoid cell towers) to avoiding areas with high levels of wireless radiation such as public parks with cell towers. My younger son developed problems as well, but to a lesser degree (because of his shorter exposure time to the school cell towers). This year, because of the prevalence of wi-fi in schools and smart phones and wireless devices among students, I had to begin homeschooling my kids for the sake of their health. It was something we did not plan on but had to do out of necessity. My husband, who has a PhD in Chemical Engineering, and I had both hoped that our boys would pursue a career in science, engineering or medicine, but now that they become ill in the presence of wireless radiation, how will they attend college when just about every college campus has a cell tower and uses wi-fi? And now with FCC's plan to speed 5G to market, which would put small cell antennas on every residential street, how will I protect my boys?
	2	Ginger Kesler Comment	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=332">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=332</a>	R7101	My son would wake covered in blood from his nose daily until we removed the smart meter from near his room. Once gone the bloody noses stopped. This is just from a smart meter. Imagine the power of small cell towers!
	3	Dr. Erica Elliot's Comment	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617259841215">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617259841215</a>		Do not allow wireless companies to place powerful 5G antennas on the top of private homes. This is an outrage.
	4	Jonathan Mirin's Comment	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618282223651">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618282223651</a>		The OTARD rule should not be changed to allow wireless companies to put their 5G antennas on private homes. Incentivizing Americans to harm their neighbors is an excellent recipe for tearing apart communities. If our neighbors, for example, had significant medical expenses and thought placing a 5G antenna on their home might be a way out, what would we say to them? We would have to explain that my wife has been injured by electromagnetic pollution, her right to freedom of movement is already been largely taken away for going on 9 years, her home is a refuge for her and that her rights are protected under the Americans with Disabilities Act. As I imagine you are aware, the number of people with microwave sickness is increasing exponentially and the densification of wireless infrastructure with 5G is only going to accelerate this process.
<b>FN 64</b>					<b>Brief: The Order is internally inconsistent. It turns subscribers into carriers, or, alternatively treats carriers like end users but it then fails to apply the rules and statutory provisions applicable to either type. The Order glosses over this problem without analysis, even though it was clearly raised in several parties' comments.</b>
	1	Comments Of Multifamily Broadband Council	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060398380133/Comments%20of%20MBC%20Final%20June%202019.pdf#page=3">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060398380133/Comments%20of%20MBC%20Final%20June%202019.pdf#page=3</a>		Page 3.
	2		<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060398380133/Comments%20of%20MBC%20Final%20June%202019.pdf#page=5">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1060398380133/Comments%20of%20MBC%20Final%20June%202019.pdf#page=5</a>		Page 5.



**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**  
**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	3	Reply Comments of The City And County Of San Francisco The Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617136541653/OTARD%20Reply%20Comments-final.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617136541653/OTARD%20Reply%20Comments-final.pdf</a>		
	4	Reply Comments of The City Of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; The City Of Sioux Falls, South Dakota; The North Metro Telecommunications Commission; The Ramsey Washington Suburban Cable Commission; The North Suburban Communications Commission; The South Washington County Telecommunications Commission; City Of Edmond, Oklahoma, The Northern Dakota County Cable Communication s Commission; And The City Of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617123204960/Oklahoma%20City%20et%20al.%20OTARD%20Reply%20Comments%2020190617.pdf#page=5">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617123204960/Oklahoma%20City%20et%20al.%20OTARD%20Reply%20Comments%2020190617.pdf#page=5</a>		Page 5.
	5		<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617123204960/Oklahoma%20City%20et%20al.%20OTARD%20Reply%20Comments%2020190617.pdf#page=11">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617123204960/Oklahoma%20City%20et%20al.%20OTARD%20Reply%20Comments%2020190617.pdf#page=11</a>		Page 11.
<b>FN 68</b>					<b>Brief: A large number of people directly asserted they are disabled and RF exposure is the direct cause or exacerbates other illnesses</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column C
	2	<b>ARR-T2</b>			
	3	Anthony Lacoparra	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=351">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=351</a>	R7495	My wife is 100% disabled from radio frequency radiation. It is life threatening for my wife she will die.

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	4	Santa Brown	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=79">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=79</a>	R1623	My daughter is 100% disabled because of radio frequency radiation and it is life threatening. My daughter can not go anywhere because of the smart meters. With 5G she will not survive.
	5	Kenneth Meoni	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=418">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=418</a>	R8986	"Our daughter was having seizures while the smart meter was in use, but not anymore. God knows what 5G would do to her."
<b>FN 69</b>					<b>Brief: All objected to involuntary exposure that will worsen their existing conditions</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column C.
	2	<b>ARR-T2</b>			
	3	Cindy Sallis	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061830674258">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061830674258</a>		I have Ehlers Danlos, a connective tissue disorder. There are many studies that show EMF makes my condition worse. I have three little boys. We do NOT use wifi all day. We do NOT own smart appliances. We intentionally hard wire to produce an EMF Free home for myself and our kids. It is abominable after all the organizations that have pleaded with the FCC to update their inadequate EMF safety standards that it would even be considered to pit neighbors against each other by bypassing legal antennae permitting processes and installing 5g transmitting device from rooftops in residential communities. Please have a conscience and do NOT do such a thing. On our own private property, it should be considered trespass to shove emf down our throats when it's unwanted! Your own 2g and 3g research showed low birth rates and cancer connections. We do not want 5G at all! STOP!
	4	Jodi Nelson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603040118046/FCC%20OTARD%20Rules%206.3.19.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603040118046/FCC%20OTARD%20Rules%206.3.19.pdf</a>		As well, the EMS disability component needs to be addressed. I personally am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the added proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process. This alone should help you make a decision as these frequencies are becoming more and more prevalent and they have become an access barrier to many public places in my community, to include my neighborhood and my own home!
	5	Melinda Sharma	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=570">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=570</a>	R12277	My son has autism and seizures and is impacted negatively by wireless.
	6	Teal Jonutz	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=318">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=318</a>	R6808	I have children with Autism, we have had to implement a lot of safety precautions regarding emf's in our home. Since we have our children are finally sleeping through the night and my mom-verbal child has begun speaking.
	7	Kerry Lovell	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=378">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=378</a>	R8086	My son has had trouble sleeping and plagued by frequent headaches since the installation of 5G towers across the road and down the street from our home. I cannot bear to have any of these towers closer to our property, my son will become even sicker!
	8	Lori Emberland	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=181">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=181</a>	R3857	My special needs daughter is sensitive to this and it will directly effect her! We don't even have wifi in our home because if the health implications!

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	9	Kendall Heym	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=278">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=278</a>	R5948	My six year old gets migraine headaches from wireless radiation exposure please don't force this killing technology into our neighborhoods against our will and put my son in endless agony.
<b>FN 70</b>					<b>Brief: Some specifically requested accommodation for themselves and others.</b>
	1	Kevin Mottus	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf#page=5">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf#page=5</a>		OTARD rule and thus it should be immediately abandoned. As described in the testimonials attached to this commenters many comments, some members of the population are already Microwave Sick, Electro-hypersensitive, Electromagnetic Sensitive or whatever term you want to use for people being sickened, injured and sometimes disabled by wireless radiation emissions. This expansion of the OTARD rule will only worsen their sickness, injury and disability, and violate their right to live safely and healthfully in their home. For some this expanded rule will result in an impaired ability to work, participate, and contribute meaningfully to society. This cannot be allowed. In addition, this expanded rule does not provide for accommodation to protect already Microwave Sick individuals or resources and provisions to relocate them to a new location free of wireless emissions that are harmful to them and impair their ability to function.
	2	McKenzie Jennings	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf</a>		This is an official request under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) that you discontinue the legislative process pertaining to the OTARD rule. I'm learning there are many health consequences to this technology that apply to my conditions. I attest and confirm that I am diagnosed with epilepsy and four other major diagnoses. Almost all of my conditions, especially the epilepsy, involve my central nervous system, which includes the brain. Epilepsy is a brain disfunction where there is abnormal electrical activity. The microwave radiation from these antennas will utilized pulsed millimeter waves and will be pulsing 24/7. This pulsing from these wireless facilities will cause an increased frequency of seizures by interfering with my brain function, interrupting my electrical impulses, and causing damage to my compromised central nervous system...My mom and dad work tirelessly to protect me from exposure to things in my environment. They have hardwired our computers and we do not use a microwave. Continual exposure to microwave radiation would increase my seizure frequency, cause physical impairment due to the increased number of seizures, and further damage my central nervous system and brain; all of which will negatively affect my quality of life and ability to function. I would not be able to be part of society as wireless, microwave radiation will be pervasive. I request you not change the OTARD rule so no one in the US will suffer ill health or have to live in an unsafe environment, which includes me and my family. Please inform me of your intent to comply with this ADA request.
	3	James L. Yarbrough	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/105042990705362">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/105042990705362</a>		I do not consent to radiation crossing my property boundaries and entering my home. I am asserting my Constitutional rights to privacy and bodily autonomy and my property-based right to exclude others. I consider RF emissions to be a noxious nuisance. I will consider non-consensual exposure in my home to be a battery. My and/or my child's sickness is impairing my and/or my child's ability to function and I and/or my child are therefore entitled to accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act and/or under the Fair Housing Act. Anyone who irradiates my home and my body and/or my child's body against our will is violating my and/or my child's rights under the Constitution, the ADA and the FHA. I do not consent to exposure, and affirmatively object to exposure. I try to avoid being exposed but that is increasingly difficult in public spaces.
	4	Jodi Nelson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603040118046/FCC%20OTARD%20Rules%206.3.19.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603040118046/FCC%20OTARD%20Rules%206.3.19.pdf</a>		As well, the EMS disability component needs to be addressed. I personally am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the added proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process. This alone should help you make a decision as these frequencies are becoming more and more prevalent and they have become an access barrier to many public places in my community, to include my neighborhood and my own home!
	5	Matina Johnson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf</a>		I am writing in response to FCC WT Docket 19-71. I oppose it because I am a person who suffers from electromagnetic sensitivity. I am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation, blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process.
<b>FN 71</b>					<b>Brief: There is no evidence in the record below that contradicts any of the factual claims that were made about the commenters' current health conditions, their causes, or their direct assertions that the rule change would lead to severe harmful effects on those that are sensitive to RF exposure.</b>
	1	Dr. Daniel Kinderlehrer MD	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10604142025907">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10604142025907</a>		I am a physician licensed in the state of Colorado and am certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine. There is substantial evidence of the harmful effects of EMFs on nervous system and endocrine function; EMFs cause oxidative stress and free radical damage which have central roles in the pathology of all chronic illnesses including cardiovascular disease and also result in damage to DNA...I have patients who are sensitive to EMFs resulting in headaches, fatigue and cognitive dysfunction; these symptoms are even worse when there is proximity to 5G antennas. Documentation is available on request.
	2	Dr. Kelly Sutton MD	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617632311295">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617632311295</a>		I am a medical doctor and have seen electrohypersensitivity in my patients. The 5G towers should NOT be allowed on private homes, due to growing evidence of health problems, including schwannomas of the heart, and gliomas of the brain, as recently found by a national study. Health standards for EMFs need to be seriously changed. Thank you.
<b>FN 73</b>					<b>Brief: Several commenters expressly invoked disability rights, and thus the FHA.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column C.
	2	ARR-T2			

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	3	Jodi Nelson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603040118046/FCC%20OTARD%20Rules%206.3.19.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603040118046/FCC%20OTARD%20Rules%206.3.19.pdf</a>		As well, the EMS disability component needs to be addressed. I personally am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the added proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process. This alone should help you make a decision as these frequencies are becoming more and more prevalent and they have become an access barrier to many public places in my community, to include my neighborhood and my own home!
	4	James L. Yarbrough	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/105042990705362">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/105042990705362</a>		I do not consent to radiation crossing my property boundaries and entering my home. I am asserting my Constitutional rights to privacy and bodily autonomy and my property- based right to exclude others. I consider RF emissions to be a noxious nuisance. I will consider non-consensual exposure in my home to be a battery. My and/or my child's sickness is impairing my and/or my child's ability to function and I and/or my child are therefore entitled to accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act and/or under the Fair Housing Act. Anyone who irradiates my home and my body and/or my child's body against our will is violating my and/or my child's rights under the Constitution, the ADA and the FHA. I do not consent to exposure, and affirmatively object to exposure. I try to avoid being exposed but that is increasingly difficult in public spaces.
	5	Matina Johnson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10617234729954/FCC%2019-71_June%2017%202019.pdf</a>		I am writing in response to FCC WT Docket 19-71. I oppose it because I am a person who suffers from electromagnetic sensitivity. I am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation, blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process.
<b>FN 78</b>					<b>Brief: Several commenters below asserted ADA applies and invoked its protections</b>
	1	Susan Jennings	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20SJ.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20SJ.pdf</a>		This is an official request under the ADA that you not change the OTARD rule as RF microwave radiation exacerbates my condition. I attest and affirm that I have multiple sclerosis, which involves concentrations of metals in the body. When there is more microwave radiation in the atmosphere, such as would be the case with antennas on private homes, my body is more conductive, as a result of the metals concentration.
	2	Alexandra Ansell	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061866984219">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061866984219</a>		There are now more than enough peer-reviewed studies demonstrating biological effects of RF radiation at much, much lower levels than we are currently experiencing around the clock. After an occupational exposure to a strong electromagnetic field, I became electro-sensitive. I now work at home where I am able to limit exposure (shielded cable, outlet filters, etc.,) and therefore some of my symptoms. If OTARD is amended to allow 5G antennas indiscriminately (i.e., on any of my neighbor's roof tops) there will be no escape for me. This is a clear VIOLATION of the ADA. Further, there are NO studies demonstrating that 5G (or even our current exposure to 4G, etc.) is safe. RF has been shown to open voltage gated calcium channels on cells flooding the delicate intracellular environment with excess calcium having vast downstream effects. This is an attack on the cellular level, particularly with respect to the nervous system with its preponderance of voltage gated calcium channels. The government's own NTP study has already demonstrated that RF (without thermal effects) is a carcinogen (clear evidence of heart cancer, evidence of brain and adrenal cancer). The WHO had already declared it a class 2b potential carcinogen. It is the height of insanity (and greed) to force this demonstrably unsafe technology on the public. The FCC is currently making cigarette companies look good in comparison, as this is FORCED 24/7 EXPOSURE.
	3	McKenzie Jennings	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf</a>		This is an official request under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) that you discontinue the legislative process pertaining to the OTARD rule.
<b>FN 81</b>					<b>Brief: For example it did not address the propriety of applying Rule 1.4000(a)(4)'s preemption of "criminal" actions relating to things like battery and child endangerment.</b>
	1	<b>ARR-T3</b>			Column D.
	2	Sheila Ward	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=658">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=658</a>	R14198	The FCC's actions have already caused injury to MY body and that of my Children. The Federal Communication Commission has allowed a situation where telecommunication corporations AND energy corporations, can physically assault us, with impunity.
	3	Dr. Lora Chamberlain	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1004244906356/FCC%2C%20OTARD%20Rule%20comment.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1004244906356/FCC%2C%20OTARD%20Rule%20comment.pdf</a>		I, and my family, says "NO" to the approval of the OTARD rule to allow wireless companies to install their powerful, dangerous antennas on private homes and apartment buildings across the country. We are NOT agreeing to this massive increase in wireless radiation in our communities. To allow these 5G towers to be placed on homes near us without our approval is to commit criminal assault. The placement of these antennas subjects nearby residents to 24/7 radiation exposure without their consent and constitutes assault on their persons.
	4	Vicki Gold	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1004655512476">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1004655512476</a>		Our homes and public buildings must offer a place of refuge especially for those with EMF radiation sensitivities. To allow these towers to be placed on homes nearby is to commit a criminal assault. We can elect to avoid cellphones, smart appliances and smart meters, but this would allow an invasion of our privacy, our comfort and well being. The placement of these antennas subjects nearby residents to 24/7 radiation exposure without their consent. Chronic exposure to RF microwave radiation has been proven to cause an array of human health problems, including cancer. I am requesting that the FCC not change its OTARD rule for the sake of public health and the preservation of local and state rights.
	5	Cindy Ladig	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617087946389">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617087946389</a>		It is unethical, immoral, and criminal to expose especially children to this huge lifetime risk to chronic illness and death. Magda Havas Ph.D. said it best "A society that doesn't protect its children ... doesn't have a future!"

**Addendum Record Reference - Table 1**

**Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References**

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
FN 84					<b>Brief: The record below resounds with individuals who claimed that the rule change would impede, impair and in some cases destroy their Constitutional liberty, property and privacy-related rights.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column F; Column G.
	2	Kevin Mottus	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1091496205690/FCC%20Comment7-KevinCABTA%40hotmail.com-Kevin%20Mottus.pdf</a>		Constitutionally, in the US our homes have always been given more protections than other locations but not in this case of the FCC expanding the OTARD rule. The FCC is violating the constitutionally guaranteed sanctity and safety of the home with this expansion of the OTARD rule and thus it should be immediately abandoned.
	3	James L. Yarbrough	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/105042990705362">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/105042990705362</a>		I do not consent to radiation crossing my property boundaries and entering my home. I am asserting my Constitutional rights to privacy and bodily autonomy and my property- based right to exclude others. I consider RF emissions to be a noxious nuisance. I will consider non-consensual exposure in my home to be a battery. My and/or my child's sickness is impairing my and/or my child's ability to function and I and/or my child are therefore entitled to accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act and/or under the Fair Housing Act. Anyone who irradiates my home and my body and/or my child's body against our will is violating my and/or my child's rights under the Constitution, the ADA and the FHA. I do not consent to exposure, and affirmatively object to exposure. I try to avoid being exposed but that is increasingly difficult in public spaces.
	4	Nina Beety	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106030636121143">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106030636121143</a>		These rules would deny the public their due process including adherence to local rules, public notification and public hearings, data on installations and equipment, and discretionary permitting. These rules would deny people their privacy and property rights. These nuisances would be exempt from regulations... In previous proceedings, the commission has heard ample testimony from Americans impacted by FCC policy and wireless radiation exposure. Americans disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities have told the commission of the serious disabling health effects they are experiencing now with current exposure levels. The commission was urged by the cities of Boston and Philadelphia in 2013 to address the ADA and the "serious medical problem" of electromagnetic sensitivity. "The dockets here have been updated with massive additional evidence of the crippling effects of RF radiation on an admitted minority – but a suffering minority – of U.S. citizens." But the commission has remained silent and continued with its plans.
	5	James S. Wazorick	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061795629597">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1061795629597</a>		I oppose overturning limits on antennas that would allow corporations to place 5G antennas on private property. This is an invasion of private property and my personal liberties, and it is also a threat to health and well-being to all persons in the vicinity of these installations. My wife is chronically ill, dealing with disabling effects of brain tumors and autoimmunity, and she is especially sensitive to EMF emissions. Your proposal could very likely cause severe health consequences for my wife and many others, and it is at odds with our Constitutionally protected rights to Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.
	6	Brenda Renschler	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1061758757317/Oppose%20changes%20to%20OTARD-FCC%20WT%20Docket%20No.%2019-71.docx">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/1061758757317/Oppose%20changes%20to%20OTARD-FCC%20WT%20Docket%20No.%2019-71.docx</a>		If these new rules are adopted, a network antenna located on private property would be exempt from local and state regulation... This commandeering proposal by the FCC prohibits state and local governments from regulating the land within their jurisdiction and seems to violate the U.S. 10th amendment... In addition to conflicting with U.S. Constitution protections for due process, privacy, and the rights of citizens, and protecting against search and seizure, the rules also conflict with the Americans with Disabilities Act for those disabled by electromagnetic sensitivity.
FN 85					<b>Brief: The FCC's indifference to the evidence of extreme suffering should "shock the conscience."</b>
	1	AAR-T2			
	2	AAR-T3			Column A; Column B.
	3	McKenzie Jennings	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10022580717011/FCC%2010-2-19%20MC.pdf</a>		This is an official request under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) that you discontinue the legislative process pertaining to the OTARD rule... Almost all of my conditions, especially the epilepsy, involve my central nervous system, which includes the brain. Epilepsy is a brain disfunction where there is abnormal electrical activity. The microwave radiation from these antennas will utilize pulsed millimeter waves and will be pulsing 24/7. This pulsing from these wireless facilities will cause an increased frequency of seizures by interfering with my brain function, interrupting my electrical impulses, and causing damage to my compromised central nervous system...My mom and dad work tirelessly to protect me from exposure... They have hardwired our computers and we do not use a microwave. Continual exposure to microwave radiation would increase my seizure frequency, cause physical impairment due to the increased number of seizures, and further damage my central nervous system and brain; all of which will negatively affect my quality of life and ability to function. I would not be able to be part of society as wireless, microwave radiation will be pervasive. I request you not change the OTARD rule so no one in the US will suffer ill health or have to live in an unsafe environment, which includes me and my family. Please inform me of your intent to comply with this ADA request.
	4	Santa Brown	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=79">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=79</a>	R1623	My daughter is 100% disabled because of radio frequency radiation and it is life threatening... she will not survive.

### Addendum Record Reference - Table 1

#### Petitioners' Opening Brief's Footnotes References

FN	#	Name/Title	URL link	Row #	Quoted Text
	5	Jennifer Page	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106180389713288">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/106180389713288</a>		I get very sick when around wifi, cellphones, smart meters and all RF radiation. It causes me heart palpitations, TIA mini strokes, headaches, dizziness, burning and itching skin and a whole list of other horrible symptoms...I need my home to be a safe place where I do not have to suffer... Please take this into serious consideration.
	6	Kenneth Meoni	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=418">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/105191672708448/Tachover%20Exhibit%202%20List%20of%20Objecting%20Persons.pdf#page=418</a>	R8986	"Our daughter was having seizures while the smart meter was in use, but not anymore. God knows what 5G would do to her."
<b>FN 88</b>					<b>Brief: Even more fundamentally it never bothers to tell us why no "process" is or should be "due" to those who are harmed.</b>
	1	ARR-T3			Column G.
	2	Jodi Nelson	<a href="https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603040118046/FCC%20OTARD%20Rules%206.3.19.pdf">https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/10603040118046/FCC%20OTARD%20Rules%206.3.19.pdf</a>		I question whether the FCC has the legal authority to use OTARD rules to bypass zoning for hub devices over which Congress reserved local zoning authority. I also question the fairness of the proposed OTARD rules component that automatically stays local enforcement upon a provider's application to the FCC. As well, the EMS disability component needs to be addressed. I personally am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the added proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process. This alone should help you make a decision as these frequencies are becoming more and more prevalent and they have become an access barrier to many public places in my community, to include my neighborhood and my own home! Let's keep our constitutional powers, allowing local governments and communities the ability to decide their own needs and not be overruled by yet another FCC regulation!
	3	Nancy Hirsch	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617645006554">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617645006554</a>		I am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF emitting wireless antennas without regulation blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process.
	4	Joseph T Carroll	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618180022876">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618180022876</a>		This is a reply comment. I would like to express my opposition to 5G wireless in residential areas. My reason being I suffer from EMF sensitivities and experience debilitating reactions from wireless transmissions. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation, blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process.
	5	Warren Woodward	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1060348533760">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/1060348533760</a>		EMF emissions from wireless devices cause disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation. blocking the assertion of disabled persons' rights and their due process. As well, people, including in rural and low income areas, will be offered monthly income to host these systems on their property, and the facilities will be exempt from permitting, public notice, hearings, RF reports, and land use regulations. Neighbors will be pitted against neighbors, as people grab for the money offered by companies. Antennas will suddenly appear. Because these antennas would be exempt, cities and counties will likely have no information about them – their specs, output, etc. Companies won't have to go to city hall for a permit before rolling them out. That's not right.
	6	Tarra Light	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617188442743">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10617188442743</a>		I oppose the implementation of 5G in my community. This is being forced upon us without due process. We have a right to decide for ourselves if we want it or not. Many people I know, including myself, are already experiencing adverse health effects from 3 G and 4G. Private property owners have the right to insure the safety and health of the occupants. The government does not own our homes.
	7	Deborah Chandler	<a href="https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618233051408">https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/filing/10618233051408</a>		I vehemently oppose the FCC's proposal to preempt more local and state authority by exempting certain wireless antennas on private property from permitting and regulation. I reject your proposal to expand the current OTARD exemption (Over-the-Air Reception Devices) to include the types of antennas necessary to deploy the 5G infrastructure. I am disabled by electromagnetic sensitivities. EMF emissions from wireless devices cause me disabling health effects. The proposed rules would allow the proliferation of EMF-emitting wireless antennas without regulation, blocking the assertion of my disabled rights and my due process.